File No.- 5528/4/2/2023

02.02.2024

Judgment & Order

1. Perused the complaint. The complainant is claiming to be wife of a District Judge serving in Jharkhand. She alleged that she is effecting construction on their land located at village Dhamni. According to the complainant some anti-social elements are demanding ransom from her. They implicated her in false cases. It is further alleged that the Investigating Officer is in hand in gloves with the accused persons. The accused persons have threatened her to implicate in several false cases. She further alleged that the Investigating Officer has not taken any action against Anup Mishra but on the report of said Anup Mishra she is falsely implicated in a criminal case. Said Amit Mishra had fired bullets on her. She therefore prayed that suitable action be taken against the Police Officer.

2. Taking cognizance of the complained, report was called from the Superintendent of Police, Aurangabad. He got the enquiry done through the Sub Divisional Police Officer, Daudnagar and forwarded the report. The police report reveals that on the basis of the report lodged by the complainant, Crime No. 251/23 came to be registered at Haspura police station. Similarly on the basis of report lodged by the otherside, Crime Nos. 252/23, 260/23 and 261/23 came to be registered. All these offences are stated to be under Investigation.

3. The report of the police makes it clear that there is dispute between the parties in respect of immovable property. Both the parties have lodged F.I.Rs against each other. There is no material on record to show that the Investigating Officer is favouring any one of the parties. During personal hearing, the complaint could not point out any such material. False implication in an offence, if any, is required to be established before the competent Court by following the due process of law.

4. In this view of the matter no case for breach of Human Rights is made out. The complaint is accordingly closed.

(Ananta Manohar Badar)
Chairperson