0\$-12-2024

To this complaint was fixed for hearing. Ample opportunities were given to the respondents for filing their reports/say/ replies.

2. Today when the complainant who happens to be Senior Doctor aged about  $\overset{\frown}{67}$  years who wants to argue his case in person, an adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent no. 1 on the ground that Sh. Dixit Learned Advocate representing him is suffering from Viral fever.

3. The report of the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar dt. 29-08-2024 is supplied to the learned Advocate appearing for respondent no. 1. At this juncture it is stated that the said report is adverse to the complainant and the Chief Secretary of State is supporting the stand of respondent no. 1 Sh. K. K Pathak the Additional Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar at Patna.

4. The complainant submits that he is 76 years old, suffering from Cancer and related co-morbidities and as such he wants the case to be decided within his short life span immediately.

5. Non-availability of Counsel for argument is no ground much less justifiable ground for adjournment as held by catena of judgments of

the Supreme Court. Nothing is placed on record to justify this oral contention of the Advocate for respondent.

6. The complainant who is practicing Urologist has attended the Commission at the cost of keeping his serious patients waiting, for want of sufficient cause the request for adjournment deserves to be rejected. However, in the interest of justice one more chance is given to the respondent to work out the matter. Hence, put up for hearing at 11:30 am of 05-13-24.

mkadon

(Justice Ananta Manohar Badar, Retd.) Chairperson